

## Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines

# Genetic Testing for Reproductive Carrier Screening and Prenatal Diagnosis

EFFECTIVE MARCH 3, 2020



8600 West Bryn Mawr Avenue  
South Tower - Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60631  
[www.aimspecialtyhealth.com](http://www.aimspecialtyhealth.com)

Appropriate.Safe.Affordable  
© 2019 AIM Specialty Health  
2068-0319

## Table of Contents

Scope .....	3
Appropriate Use Criteria .....	3
Carrier Screening for Familial Disease .....	3
Fragile X .....	3
Carrier Screening for Common and Ethnic Genetic Diseases .....	3
Cystic Fibrosis.....	3
Spinal Muscular Atrophy.....	4
Hemoglobinopathies.....	4
Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening.....	4
Other Ethnicities.....	5
Carrier Screening Not Clinically Appropriate .....	5
Preimplantation Genetic Screening and Diagnostic Testing of Embryos .....	5
Preimplantation Genetic Screening for Common Aneuploidy .....	6
Prenatal Cell-Free DNA Screening .....	6
Prenatal Molecular Genetic Testing of a Fetus.....	7
Reproductive Genetic Testing for Recurrent Pregnancy Loss.....	7
Reproductive Genetic Testing for the Diagnosis of Infertility.....	7
CPT Codes.....	8
Background .....	9
Reproductive Carrier Screening .....	9
Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis .....	11
Preimplantation Aneuploidy Screening .....	11
Prenatal Cell-Free DNA Testing .....	12
Prenatal Diagnosis via Karyotype, Microarray, or Exome Sequencing.....	13
Recurrent Pregnancy Loss Testing .....	13
Fertility Evaluation.....	14
Professional Society Guidelines.....	14
Selected References.....	17
Revision History.....	17

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

# Scope

This document addresses genetic testing in the reproductive setting, including both testing of parents (carrier screening) and testing of fetal or embryonic DNA (prenatal diagnosis, preimplantation genetic testing, cell-free DNA). All tests listed in these guidelines may not require prior authorization; please refer to the health plan. For whole exome sequencing as a technology for prenatal testing, please refer to the Clinical Practice Guidelines: Whole Exome and Whole Genome Sequencing.

## Appropriate Use Criteria

### Carrier Screening for Familial Disease

Single gene reproductive carrier screening for hereditary conditions is medically necessary when any of the following criteria are met:

- An individual's reproductive partner is a known carrier of a disease-causing pathogenic or likely pathogenic (P/LP) variant for a recessively-inherited condition
- A diagnosis of a genetic disorder has been confirmed in an affected relative, and one of the following:
  - A genetic P/LP variant has been identified, and testing is targeted to the known familial P/LP variant
  - The affected relative has not had genetic testing and is unavailable for testing, or the specific P/LP variant is unavailable

### Fragile X

Preconception or prenatal genetic testing for Fragile X syndrome (FMR1) is medically necessary for females for the following indications:

- Family history of unexplained intellectual disability/developmental delay or autism in a blood relative
- Personal or family history of premature ovarian insufficiency

### Carrier Screening for Common and Ethnic Genetic Diseases

#### Cystic Fibrosis

Cystic fibrosis (CF) carrier screening with a targeted test for common variants (CPT code 81220) is medically necessary when testing has not been previously performed.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Cystic fibrosis carrier screening by full sequencing (81223), deletion/duplication (81222) or known familial P/LP variant analysis (81221) is medically necessary in certain scenarios, including but not limited to:

- Patient is a reproductive partner of a known carrier
- Patient has a family history of cystic fibrosis but P/LP variant is not known

### **Spinal Muscular Atrophy**

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) carrier screening by SMN1 dosage/deletion analysis (81329) is medically necessary when testing has not been previously performed.

- For those with a family history of SMA, pre- and post-test genetic counseling is recommended to discuss testing strategy due to the complex inheritance of this condition

### **Hemoglobinopathies**

Hemoglobinopathy genetic carrier screening is medically necessary when any of the following criteria are met:

- Clinical or laboratory features (e.g. CBC, hemoglobin electrophoresis) are suggestive of a hemoglobinopathy
- Results of testing by conventional studies (e.g., electrophoresis, liquid chromatography, isoelectric focusing) yield equivocal results
- A definitive diagnosis remains uncertain or a definitive diagnosis is known but specific P/LP variant identification is necessary for prenatal diagnosis

### **Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening**

Ashkenazi Jewish carrier screening by targeted P/LP variant analysis for the following conditions is medically necessary when an individual or their reproductive partner has Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry:

- Cystic fibrosis
- Familial dysautonomia
- Tay-Sachs disease
- Canavan disease
- Fanconi anemia group C
- Niemann-Pick disease, type A
- Bloom syndrome
- Mucopolysaccharidosis type IV

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

- Gaucher disease, type 1

## **Other Ethnicities**

Carrier screening for additional conditions may be considered medically necessary if the patient is at increased risk to be a carrier based on their ethnicity, including but not limited to:

- Tay-Sachs carrier screening for individuals with French Canadian ancestry
- Maple syrup urine disease (MSUD) screening for individuals with Mennonite ancestry

## **Carrier Screening Not Clinically Appropriate**

The following tests are not medically necessary for carrier screening in the general population:

- Universal carrier screening panels
- Full gene sequencing when targeted testing of common P/LP variants is available
- Whole exome sequencing
- Additional conditions/genes not mentioned above

## **Preimplantation Genetic Screening and Diagnostic Testing of Embryos**

Note: Coverage of genetic testing of embryos may be dependent upon health plan fertility benefits.

Preimplantation genetic diagnosis, including the embryo biopsy procedure if applicable, is medically necessary for the following indications:

- Both biologic parents are carriers of a single gene autosomal recessively-inherited disorder
- One biologic parent is a known carrier of a single gene autosomal dominantly-inherited disorder or a single X-linked disorder
- One biologic parent is a potential carrier based on family history of a single gene autosomal dominantly-inherited disorder or a single X-linked disorder and is requesting non-disclosure testing
- One biologic parent is a carrier of a chromosomal rearrangement
- A previous pregnancy or child has been diagnosed with a genetic disease and familial P/LP variant(s) are known

Preimplantation genetic diagnosis is not medically necessary for any other indication, including but not limited to the following:

- Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) typing of an embryo to identify a future suitable stem-cell tissue or organ transplantation donor

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

- Testing solely to determine if an embryo is a carrier of an autosomal recessively-inherited disorder
- Testing for a multifactorial condition
- Testing for variants of unknown significance
- Nonmedical gender selection
- Nonmedical traits

### **Preimplantation Genetic Screening for Common Aneuploidy**

Preimplantation genetic screening of common aneuploidy by any testing methodology is not medically necessary for any indication, including but not limited to the following:

- Advanced maternal age (i.e., age  $\geq$  35 years)
- Repeated in vitro fertilization (IVF) failures
- Recurrent spontaneous abortions

### **Prenatal Cell-Free DNA Screening**

Prenatal cell-free DNA screening (cfDNA) (CPT codes 81507 or 81420) is medically necessary for single gestation pregnancies.

Prenatal cell-free DNA screening is not medically necessary for the following indications:

- Multiple gestation pregnancies
- Miscarriage or fetal demise

SensiGene<sup>®</sup>(81479 or 81403) testing is medically necessary in a single gestation pregnancy when all of the following criteria are met:

- A maternal anti-D antibody has been identified
- The paternal Rh genotype is determined to be heterozygous or is unknown
- The results will impact antenatal care

The following tests are not medically necessary:

- Screening for copy number variants (e.g. 22q11.2, Cri-du-chat, whole genome, microdeletions, etc.) (e.g. 81422, 81479)
- Screening for autosomal trisomies other than 13, 18, and 21 (e.g. 81479)
- Prenatal cell-free DNA testing for single gene conditions (e.g. 81479)

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Concurrent screening for aneuploidy using multiple screening tests is not considered medically necessary.

## **Prenatal Molecular Genetic Testing of a Fetus**

*Note: The criteria below do not apply to cytogenetic testing (e.g. karyotype, chromosome analysis.)*

Single gene, multi-gene, or chromosomal microarray prenatal genetic testing is medically necessary when the results of the genetic test will impact clinical decision-making and the requested method is scientifically valid for the suspected condition.

Chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing on products of conception is medically necessary for:

- Evaluation of recurrent pregnancy loss\*
- Evaluation of intrauterine fetal demise (IUID) or stillbirth after 20 weeks of gestational age
- Evaluation of a pregnancy loss with one or more major structural anomalies

\*Recurrent pregnancy loss is defined by two or more unexplained pregnancy losses.

## **Reproductive Genetic Testing for Recurrent Pregnancy Loss**

*Note: The criteria below do not apply to cytogenetic testing (e.g., karyotype, chromosome analysis.)*

Single or multi-gene panel testing for the evaluation of recurrent pregnancy loss is not medically necessary, including but not limited to the following

- F2
- F5
- MTHFR

## **Reproductive Genetic Testing for the Diagnosis of Infertility**

*Note: The criteria below do not apply to cytogenetic testing (e.g. karyotype, chromosome analysis.)*

The following tests are medically necessary when performed to establish the underlying etiology of infertility:

- Cystic fibrosis testing for males with either congenital bilateral absence of vas deferens or azoospermia or severe oligospermia (i.e., < five million sperm/milliliter) with palpable vas deferens)
- Y-chromosome microdeletion testing in males with nonobstructive azoospermia or severe oligospermia (i.e., < five million sperm/milliliter)

(See above for Fragile X testing criteria related to premature ovarian insufficiency.)

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

# CPT Codes

The following codes are associated with the guidelines outlined in this document. This list is not all inclusive.

Covered when medical necessity criteria are met:

- 81220 CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) (eg, cystic fibrosis) gene analysis; common variants (eg, ACMG/ACOG guidelines)
- 81221 CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) (eg, cystic fibrosis) gene analysis; known familial variants
- 81222 CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) (eg, cystic fibrosis) gene analysis; duplication/deletion variants
- 81223 CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) (eg, cystic fibrosis) gene analysis; full gene sequence
- 81228 Cytogenomic constitutional (genome-wide) microarray analysis; interrogation of genomic regions for copy number variants (eg, bacterial artificial chromosome [BAC] or oligo-based comparative genomic hybridization [CGH] microarray analysis)
- 81229 Cytogenomic constitutional (genome-wide) microarray analysis; interrogation of genomic regions for copy number and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) variants for chromosomal abnormalities
- 81243 FMR1 (fragile X mental retardation 1) (eg, fragile X mental retardation) gene analysis; evaluation to detect abnormal (eg, expanded) alleles
- 81244 FMR1 (fragile X mental retardation 1) (eg, fragile X mental retardation) gene analysis; characterization of alleles (eg, expanded size and promoter methylation status)
- 81329 SMN1 (survival of motor neuron 1, telomeric) (eg, spinal muscular atrophy) gene analysis; dosage/deletion analysis (eg, carrier testing), includes SMN2 (survival of motor neuron 2, centromeric) analysis, if performed
- 81412 Ashkenazi Jewish associated disorders (eg, Bloom syndrome, Canavan disease, cystic fibrosis, familial dysautonomia, Fanconi anemia group C, Gaucher disease, Tay-Sachs)

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

disease), genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 9 genes, including ASPA, BLM, CFTR, FANCC, GBA, HEXA, IKBKAP, MCOLN1, and SMPD1

81420 Fetal chromosomal aneuploidy (eg, trisomy 21, monosomy X) genomic sequence analysis panel, circulating cell-free fetal DNA in maternal blood, must include analysis of chromosomes 13, 18, and 21

81479 or SensiGene®  
81403

81507 Fetal aneuploidy (trisomy 21, 18, and 13) DNA sequence analysis of selected regions using maternal plasma, algorithm reported as a risk score for each trisomy

Codes that do not meet medical necessity criteria:

81422 Fetal chromosomal microdeletion(s) genomic sequence analysis (eg, DiGeorge syndrome, Cri-du-chat syndrome), circulating cell-free fetal DNA in maternal blood

81443 Genetic testing for severe inherited conditions (eg, cystic fibrosis, Ashkenazi Jewish-associated disorders [eg, Bloom syndrome, Canavan disease, Fanconi anemia type C, mucopolysaccharidosis type VI, Gaucher disease, Tay-Sachs disease], beta hemoglobinopathies, phenylketonuria, galactosemia), genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 15 genes (eg, ACADM, ARSA, ASPA, ATP7B, BCKDHA, BCKDHB, BLM, CFTR, DHCR7, FANCC, G6PC, GAA, GALT, GBA, GBE1, HBB, HEXA, IKBKAP, MCOLN1, PAH)

0060U Twin zygosity, genomic targeted sequence analysis of chromosome 2, using circulating cell-free fetal DNA in maternal blood

ANY Myriad Foresight® Carrier Screen (Myriad® Women's Health, Inc.)

ANY Preparent Global Panel (Progenity®)

ANY Horizon™ Carrier Screen (Natera, Inc.)

ANY Inheritest® Carrier Screen, Comprehensive Panel (LabCorp)

# Background

## Reproductive Carrier Screening

Carrier screening in the prenatal or preconception period is recommended for a variety of conditions based upon ethnic background and family history. Certain autosomal recessive disease conditions are

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

more prevalent in individuals of specific ancestry and, thus, these couples are at increased risk for having offspring with one of these conditions. Some of these conditions may be lethal in childhood or are associated with significant morbidity.

Carrier screening for cystic fibrosis is recommended by the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) for individuals in the preconception and prenatal periods regardless of ethnic background or family history. ACOG's current recommendations indicate that complete sequencing of the CFTR gene is not appropriate for routine carrier screening, but carrier screening panels should include at minimum the 23 most common P/LP variants (ACOG 2017). The American College of Medical Genetics and ACOG also recommend preconception and prenatal screening for spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) regardless of family history. Fragile X carrier screening is recommended for women with a family history of fragile X-related disorders, unexplained mental retardation or developmental delay, autism, or premature ovarian insufficiency (ACOG 2017). While ACOG Committee Opinion No. 762 (Pre-Pregnancy Counseling, 2018) states Fragile X carrier screening may be performed in patients without risk factors after informed consent, ACOG Committee Opinion 691 (Carrier Screening for Genetic Conditions, 2017) indicates Fragile X carrier screening in the general population is not routinely recommended by specifying it should be performed in women with a family history of fragile X syndrome, intellectual disability suggestive of fragile x syndrome, or unexplained ovarian insufficiency/failure.

Individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent have an increased risk to have a child with certain autosomal recessive conditions. The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) recommends carrier screening for cystic fibrosis, Canavan disease, familial dysautonomia, Tay-Sachs disease, Fanconi anemia (Group C), Niemann-Pick (Type A), Bloom syndrome, mucopolidosis IV, and Gaucher disease for all individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent who are pregnant or considering pregnancy. These disorders all have significant health impact on an affected infant. When only one member of a couple has Jewish ancestry, carrier screening is still recommended. However, these couples should be made aware that it may be difficult to accurately predict the risk of affected offspring as the detection rate and carrier frequency for non-Jewish individuals is unknown for the majority of these conditions (ACOG 2017).

Recently, large pan-ethnic expanded carrier screening panels have become available. These panels typically include targeted P/LP variant analysis or sequencing of hundreds of genes and are intended to be used for general population carrier screening. There are no standard guidelines regarding which disease genes and P/LP variants to include on an expanded carrier screening panel. These panels often include diseases that are present with increased frequency in specific populations, as well as a large number of diseases for which the carrier frequency in the general population is low in the absence of a known family history. Multiple professional societies have called for guidelines to be developed that would limit genes on these panels based on standard criteria, such as only including severe, childhood-onset genetic diseases, and only genes for which P/LP variant frequencies are known and prognosis can be predicted based on genotype (Grody et al. 2013; Edwards et al. 2015).

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

The recent ACOG committee opinion 690 (2017) gives the following suggestions for conditions to include on expanded carrier screening panels: a carrier frequency of 1 in 100 or greater, a well-defined phenotype, a detrimental effect on quality of life, cause cognitive or physical impairment, require surgical or medical intervention, and disease onset early in life.

## **Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis**

Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) also referred to as preimplantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders (PGT-M) or structural rearrangements (PGT-S) is a procedure that involves testing an embryo for a genetic condition before the embryo is placed into the uterus for implantation. PGD is available for a variety of single gene conditions and chromosome rearrangements, but requires the following:

- Genetic testing on one or both parents: the diagnosis in the family needs to be confirmed via genetic testing and the specific causative variant(s) must be known
- In Vitro Fertilization (IVF): PGD can only be done in the context of IVF

Methods used for PGD vary, and may depend on the specific type of P/LP variant or chromosome change. Linkage analysis is still required in many cases despite advances in testing methodologies.

## **Preimplantation Aneuploidy Screening**

Preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) also now often called Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A) involves testing for chromosome abnormalities in biopsied cells from IVF-created embryos. Historically, PGS was performed using FISH for common aneuploidies on single cells from cleavage stage embryos; however, microarray technology has become more common in the last few years, as has testing multiple cells from the trophoctoderm at the blastocyst stage (Brezina et al. 2016). Microarray allows testing for aneuploidies in all 23 chromosomes, but cannot detect triploidy. Many other technical methods (e.g. qPCR) are used or are in development for PGS.

Despite these advances, multiple researchers have called into question the accuracy of testing trophoctoderm biopsies to determine the aneuploid status of an embryo due to the apparent frequency of mosaicism (Maxwell et al. 2016; Gleicher and Orvieto 2017). Trophoctoderm mosaicism has been reported to be as high as 70-90% in cleavage- and blastocyst-stage embryos, and increasing evidence suggests that this may be a normal phenomenon. Therefore, using PGS to eliminate embryos with detected chromosome abnormalities in the trophoctoderm may in fact lead to discarding embryos that still have the potential to develop into healthy, liveborn infants. Munne et al. (2017) determined that about 40% of embryos with mosaic results from PGS can result in viable, healthy pregnancies.

Studies evaluating the effectiveness of PGS include prospective nonrandomized and randomized controlled trials. While several small studies suggest that PGS outcomes may be improving, there is no consensus about when to use the technology or for which populations. Published, peer-reviewed

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

scientific literature does not support the use of PGS in couples undergoing IVF procedures for infertility with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss, repeated IVF failures and/or advanced maternal age in order to improve IVF success rates. The Practice Committees of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology released a committee opinion in 2018 detailing the limitations of the current data on the topic, and noting that the value of PGT-A as a screening test for all IVF patients has yet to be determined. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to suggest that PGT-A is medically necessary to improve fertility outcomes.

## **Prenatal Cell-Free DNA Testing**

Prenatal cell-free DNA screening, also called non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT), are highly sensitive DNA sequencing-based tests that screen for common fetal aneuploidy, including trisomy 21/18/13 and sex chromosome abnormalities. NIPT, which tests a maternal blood sample, may be used as a sophisticated screening test to help determine who might benefit from invasive diagnostic testing for fetal aneuploidy using chorionic villus sampling (CVS) or amniocentesis.

NIPT for trisomies 13, 18 and 21 has a significantly higher testing performance than traditional prenatal aneuploidy screening tests (e.g. maternal serum screening). Specific sensitivity and specificity is somewhat condition-dependent but typically reported as greater than 95-99%. While NIPT has a higher false-positive rate and a lower positive predictive value (ACOG 2015) for the average-risk pregnancy, validation studies have indicated that it has superior performance compared with traditional maternal serum screening and national guidelines have recently begun to recommend expanded use of the test. In a 2015 Committee Opinion, the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecologists acknowledge that any woman may choose to have NIPT, just as any woman may choose to have invasive diagnostic testing. In 2016, the American College of Medical Genetics reiterated its stance that NIPT should be available to women of all risk groups as one of many options. The Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine, however, states that the best candidates for NIPT are those at high risk for aneuploidy (2015).

Several laboratories have added common microdeletions such as 22q11.2 to their NIPT testing platforms, and some labs now offer evaluation of cell free DNA for copy number changes greater than 7Mb across the genome. Cell-free DNA microdeletion studies have not been clinically validated and are not recommended by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the European and American Societies for Human Genetics, or the Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (ACOG 2016; Dondorp et al. 2015; SMFM 2016).

Several large validation studies have demonstrated the sensitivity and specificity of NIPT for determining fetal sex in addition to common chromosome abnormalities. These studies have indicated that screening for XY chromosome aneuploidy has a significantly lower positive predictive value than other chromosomes (ACOG 2016). In addition, the phenotype associated with these conditions is highly variable. This has led both the European and the American Societies of Human Genetics to issue

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

recommendations that sex chromosome screening by cfDNA not be performed (Dondorp et al. 2015), and the American College of Medical Genetics recommends that patients should be discouraged from choosing screening for the sole purpose of fetal sex determination (Gregg et al. 2016).

Any method of aneuploidy screening is less accurate in twin or higher-order multiple gestations than in singleton pregnancies. Initial research suggests that NIPT may be accurate in twin pregnancies, however data is limited and professional societies have called for larger prospective trials to provide more data regarding the performance of NIPT technology in multiple gestation pregnancies (ACOG & SMFM 2015; Gregg et al. 2016; Bender and Dugoff 2018).

## **Prenatal Diagnosis via Karyotype, Microarray, or Exome Sequencing**

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends prenatal chromosomal microarray (CMA) on CVS or amniocentesis samples for patients with a fetus with one or more major structural abnormalities identified on ultrasonographic examination. They also state that in patients with a structurally normal fetus undergoing invasive prenatal diagnostic testing, either fetal karyotyping or CMA can be performed (regardless of maternal age).

In the setting of intrauterine fetal demise or stillbirth, CMA is recommended on the products of conception in place of karyotype for genetic evaluation, due to its higher yield of results with nondividing cells and increased detection of chromosomal abnormalities. ACOG does not recommend routine CMA analysis on structurally normal pregnancy losses less than 20 weeks gestation.

Recently, some laboratories have begun offering whole exome sequencing tests for the purpose of prenatal diagnosis in cases of fetal anomalies that remain unexplained after standard genetic workups. Published data regarding the use of this test in a prenatal setting have been limited to case reports and small cohorts. There are select circumstances in which prenatal WES may be a useful diagnostic tool, such as recurrent, lethal fetal anomalies for which other testing has been uninformative. However, limitations of WES in a prenatal setting include long turnaround times and high rates of variants of unknown significance, which are especially difficult to interpret for ongoing pregnancies without the ability to perform a full physical examination of the fetus. The routine use of prenatal exome sequencing is not recommended outside of clinical trials (ACOG and SMFM 2016).

## **Recurrent Pregnancy Loss Testing**

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) both recommend chromosomal analysis via karyotyping when a couple has a history of recurrent pregnancy loss (two or more unexplained losses). Karyotypic analysis can be performed on either the products of conception or on both parents when a history of recurrent pregnancy loss is identified. The American College of Medical Genetics states that chromosomal microarray (CMA) should NOT be used to evaluate parents with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss, as this technology cannot detect balanced chromosomal rearrangements.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

See Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines for Pharmacogenetic Testing and Genetic Testing for Thrombotic Disorders for discussion of F5, F2, and MTHFR testing.

## Fertility Evaluation

Infertility is defined as the failure to achieve a pregnancy after 12 months of regular unprotected intercourse (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) 2008; American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) 2013). Infertility can affect one or both reproductive partners. Some underlying factors are reversible through medical intervention; the major underlying causes of infertility include: ovulatory, tubal, cervical, uterine/endometrial, and male partner factors. There are some genetic factors responsible for male factor infertility, including chromosome abnormalities, Y-chromosome microdeletions, and mild/non-classical cystic fibrosis.

All men with severe oligozoospermia or azoospermia (sperm count < 5 million/hpf) should be offered genetic counseling, karyotype assessment for chromosomal abnormalities, and Y-chromosome microdeletion testing prior to initiating in vitro fertilization with intracytoplasmic sperm injection (Okun and Sierra 2014). Cystic fibrosis testing is also indicated for males with obstructive azoospermia.

ACOG Committee Opinion 781 (Infertility Workup for the Women's Health Specialist, 2019) states thrombophilia testing is not appropriate for inclusion in the battery of tests routinely ordered to determine the etiology of infertility.

# Professional Society Guidelines

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 78: hemoglobinopathies in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2007 Jan;109(1):229-37. Reaffirmed 2018. PubMed PMID: 17197616.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 138: inherited thrombophilias in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;132:e18–34. PubMed PMID: 23963422.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 200: early pregnancy loss. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;132. PubMed PMID: 30157093.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Committee Opinion No. 640: cell-free DNA screening for fetal aneuploidy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015;126:e31-7. Reaffirmed 2017. PubMed PMID: 26287791.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Committee Opinion No. 682: microarrays and next-generation sequencing technology: the use of advanced genetic diagnostic tools in obstetrics and gynecology. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016 Dec;128(6):e262-8. PubMed PMID: 27875474.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Committee Opinion No. 690: carrier screening in the age of genomic medicine. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017 Mar;129(3):e35-40. PubMed PMID: 28225425.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Committee Opinion No. 691: carrier screening for genetic conditions. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017 Mar;129(3):e41-55. PubMed PMID: 28225426.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Committee Opinion No. 781: Infertility workup for the women's health specialist. *Obstet Gynecol* 2019;133:e377-84. PubMed PMID: 31135764.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Committee Opinion No. 762: Prepregnancy counseling. *Obstet Gynecol* 2019;133:e78–89. PubMed PMID: 30575679.

American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM). Evaluation and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2012 Nov;98(5):1103-11. Epub 2012 Jul 24. PubMed PMID: 22835448.

American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM). Definitions of infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2013 Jan;99(1):63. Epub 2012 Oct 22. PubMed PMID: 23095139.

American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM). Diagnostic evaluation of the infertile male: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2015 Mar;103(3):e18-25. Epub 2015 Jan 15. PubMed PMID: 25597249.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics; Committee on Genetics; Society for Maternal–Fetal Medicine. Practice Bulletin No. 162: Prenatal Diagnostic Testing for Genetic Disorders. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016 May;127(5):e108-22. PubMed PMID: 26938573.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics, Committee on Genetics, and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. Practice Bulletin No. 163: Screening for Fetal Aneuploidy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016 May;127(5):e123-37. PubMed PMID: 26938574.

Edwards JG, Feldman G, Goldberg J, et al. Expanded carrier screening in reproductive medicine—points to consider: a joint statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, National Society of Genetic Counselors, Perinatal Quality

Finucane B, Abrams L, Cronister A, et al. Genetic counseling and testing for FMR1 gene mutations: practice guidelines of the National Society of Genetic Counselors. *J Genet Couns*. 2012 Dec;21(6):752-60. Epub 2012 Jul 14. PubMed PMID: 22797890.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Gregg AR, Skotko BG, Benkendorf JL, et al. Noninvasive prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidy, 2016 update: a position statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. *Genet Med*. 2016 Oct;18(10):1056-65. Epub 2016 Jul 28. PubMed PMID: 27467454.

Grody WW, Griffin JH, Taylor AK, et al. American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG). American College of Medical Genetics consensus statement on factor V Leiden mutation testing. *Genet Med*. 2001 Mar-Apr;3(2):139-48. PubMed PMID: 11280951.

Grody WW, Cutting GR, Klinger KW, et al. Laboratory standards and guidelines for population-based cystic fibrosis carrier screening. *Genet Med*. 2001 Mar-Apr;3(2):149-54. PubMed PMID: 11280952.

Grody WW, Thompson BH, Gregg AR, et al. American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG). ACMG position statement on prenatal/preconception expanded carrier screening. *Genet Med*. 2013 Jun;15(6):482-3. Epub 2013 Apr 25. PubMed PMID: 23619275.

Gross SJ, Pletcher BA, Monaghan KG. Carrier screening in individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent. *Genet Med*. 2008 Jan;10(1):54-6. PubMed PMID: 18197057.

Hickey SE, Curry CJ, Toriello HV. ACMG Practice Guideline: lack of evidence for MTHFR polymorphism testing. *Genet Med*. 2013 Feb;15(2):153-6. PubMed PMID: 23288205.

Laurino MY, Bennett RL, Saraiya DS, et al. Genetic evaluation and counseling of couples with recurrent miscarriage: recommendations of the National Society of Genetic Counselors. *J Genet Counsel* 2005 Jun;14(3):165-81. PubMed PMID: 15959648.

Manning M, Hudgins L. Array-based technology and recommendations for utilization in medical genetics practice for detection of chromosomal abnormalities. *Genet Med*. 2010 Nov; 12(11):742–5. PubMed PMID: 20962661.

Practice Committees of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology. The use of preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A): a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2018 Mar;109(3):429-436. PubMed PMID: 29566854.

Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine in collaboration with the Society for Male Reproduction and Urology. Evaluation of the azoospermic male: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2018 May;109(5):777-782. PubMed PMID: 29778371.

Prior, TW. Carrier screening for spinal muscular atrophy. *Genet Med*. 2008 Nov;10(11):840-2. PubMed PMID: 18941424.

Sherman S, Pletcher BA, Driscoll DA. Fragile X syndrome: diagnosis and carrier screening. *Genet Med*. 2005 Oct;7(8):584-7. PubMed PMID: 16247297.

Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM). #36: Prenatal aneuploidy screening using cell-free DNA *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015 Jun;212(6):711-6. Epub 2015 Mar 23. PubMed PMID: 25813012.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

# Selected References

- 1 Bean L J H, Funke B, Carlston CM, Gannon J L, Kantarci S, Krock B L, Zhang S, Bayrak-Toydemir P, on behalf of the ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee. Diagnostic gene sequencing panels: from design to report- a technical standard of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med.* 2019 Nov 16. PubMed PMID: 31732716.
- 2 Bender, W and Dugoff, L. Screening for aneuploidy in multiple gestations: the challenges and options. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.* 2018 Mar;45(1):41-53. PubMed PMID: 29428285.
- 3 Bianchi DW, Parker RL, Wentworth J, et al. DNA sequencing versus standard prenatal aneuploidy screening. *N Engl J Med.* 2014 Feb 27;370(9):799-808. PubMed PMID: 24571752.
- 4 Brezina PR, Anchan R, Kearns WG. Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy: what technology should you use and what are the differences? *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2016 Jul;33(7):823-32. Epub 2016 Jun 14. PubMed PMID: 27299602.
- 5 Debrock S, Melotte C, Spiessens C, et al. Preimplantation genetic screening for aneuploidy of embryos after in vitro fertilization in women aged at least 35 years: a prospective randomized trial. *Fertil Steril.* 2010 Feb;93(2):364-73. Epub 2009 Feb 26. PubMed PMID: 19249029.
- 6 Devaney SA, Palomaki GE, Scott JA, et al. Noninvasive fetal sex determination using cell-free fetal DNA: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2011 Aug 10;306(6):627-36. PubMed PMID: 21828326.
- 7 Dhillon RK, Hillman SC, Morris RK, et al. Additional information from chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) over conventional karyotyping when diagnosing chromosomal abnormalities in miscarriage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG.* 2014 Jan;121(1):11-21. Epub 2013 Jul 17. PubMed PMID: 23859082.
- 8 Di Renzo GC, Bartha JL, Bilardo CM. Expanding the indications for cell-free DNA in the maternal circulation: clinical considerations and implications. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2019 Jun;220(6):537-542. PubMed PMID: 30639383.
- 9 Dondorp W, de Wert G, Bombard Y, et al. Non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy and beyond: challenges of responsible innovation in prenatal screening. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2015 Nov;23(11):1438-50. Epub 2015 Mar 18. PubMed PMID: 25782669
- 10 Gleicher N, Orvieto R. Is the hypothesis of preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) still supportable? A review. *Journal of Ovarian Research.* 2017 Mar;10(1):21. PubMed PMID: 28347334.
- 11 Health Quality Ontario. Noninvasive Prenatal Testing for Trisomies 21, 18, and 13, Sex Chromosome Aneuploidies, and Microdeletions: A Health Technology Assessment. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser.* 2019;19(4):1-166. Published 2019 Feb 19. PubMed PMID: 30847010.
- 12 Lee E, Illingworth P, Wilton L, et al. The clinical effectiveness of preimplantation genetic diagnosis for aneuploidy in all 24 chromosomes (PGD-A): systematic review. *Hum Reprod.* 2015 Feb;30(2):473-83. Epub 2014 Nov 28. PubMed PMID: 25432917.
- 13 Maxwell SM, Colls P, Hodes-Wertz B, et al. Why do euploid embryos miscarry? A case-control study comparing the rate of aneuploidy within presumed euploid embryos that resulted in miscarriage or live birth using next-generation sequencing. *Fertil Steril.* 2016 Nov;106(6):1414-9. Epub 2016 Sep 28. PubMed PMID: 27692437.
- 14 Munné S, Blazek J, Large M, et al. Detailed investigation into the cytogenetic constitution and pregnancy outcome of replacing mosaic blastocysts detected with the use of high-resolution next-generation sequencing. *Fertil Steril.* 2017 Jul;108(1):62-71. Epub 2017 Jun 1. PubMed PMID: 28579407.
- 15 Murugappan G, Ohno MS, Lathi RB. Cost-effectiveness analysis of preimplantation genetic screening and in vitro fertilization versus expectant management in patients with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss. *Fertil Steril.* 2015 May;103(5):1215-20. Epub 2015 Mar 13. PubMed PMID: 25772770.
- 16 Nicolaidis KH, Syngelaki A, Gil M, et al. Validation of targeted sequencing of single-nucleotide polymorphism for non-invasive prenatal detection of aneuploidy of chromosomes 13, 18, 21, X and Y. *Prenat Diagn.* 2013 June; 33(6), 575-9. Epub 2013 Apr 24. PubMed PMID: 23613152.
- 17 Okun N, Sierra S. Pregnancy outcomes after assisted human reproduction. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2014 Jan;36(1):64-83. PubMed PMID: 24444289.
- 18 Orvieto R, Shuly Y, Brengauz M, Feldman B. Should pre-implantation genetic screening be implemented to routine clinical practice? *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2016 Jun;32(6):506-8. Epub 2016 Feb 12. PubMed PMID: 26872945.
- 19 Watson MS, Cutting GR, Desnick RJ, et al. Cystic fibrosis population carrier screening: 2004 revision of American College of Medical Genetics mutation panel. *Genet Med.* 2004 Sep-Oct;6(5):387-91. PubMed PMID: 15371902.

# Revision History

## Medical Advisory Board Review:

v1.2020 11/04/2019: Approved

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

v2.2019 05/23/2019: No Criteria Changes

v1.2019 11/07/2018: Reviewed

v1.2018 03/31/2018: Reviewed

**Clinical Steering Committee Review:**

v1.2020 10/11/2019: Approved

v2.2019 04/03/2019: Approved

v1.2019 10/03/2018: Approved

v1.2018 02/28/2018: Approved

v2.2017 03/08/2017: Approved

v1.2017 01/25/2017: Approved

**Revisions:**

Version	Date	Editor	Description
v1.2020	09/11/2019	Melissa Burns, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Criteria was added for SensiGene <sup>®</sup> . CPT codes, background and references were updated.
v2.2019	4/03/2019	Melissa Burns, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Revised language for preimplantation genetic screening and diagnostic testing of embryos, prenatal cell-free DNA screening, prenatal molecular genetic testing of a fetus, and reproductive genetic testing for recurrent pregnancy loss. Updated background.
v1.2019	10/03/2018	Heather Dorsey, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Clarified language regarding appropriate use of microarray for stillbirth fetuses. Updated guidelines and reference section. Reformatted CPT code list. PMID added.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

v1.2018	03/31/2018	Kate Charyk, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Revised language for prenatal cell-free DNA screening, prenatal molecular testing of a fetus, reproductive genetic testing for recurrent pregnancy loss and the diagnosis of infertility, familial variant testing and cystic fibrosis, hemoglobinopathy, Ashkenazi Jewish testing for carrier screening. Removed recommendation for genetic counseling following unclear SMA result. Expanded carrier screening to include rare variants common in other ethnicities. Removed 10 week gestational age limit and vanishing twin exclusion for NIPT. Added disclaimer sentence to Scope. Added additional background evidence and reference for NIPT in multiple gestations.
v3.2017	10/26/2017	Kate Charyk, MS, CGC	Quarterly Review. Added simultaneous screening to indications for which cfDNA is not medically necessary. Added additional background evidence and references for PGS.
v2.2017	09/11/2017	Megan Czarniecki, MS, CGC	Formatted references to NLM style. Moved methodological considerations to appropriate use criteria and background. Updated associated CPT codes. Added disclaimer to PGD testing coverage. Approved by Policy Lead.
v2.2017	06/20/2017	Kate Charyk, MS, CGC	Quarterly review. No criteria changes. Reorganized carrier screening criteria under new header. Updated references. Approved by Policy Lead.
v2.2017	04/19/2017	Kate Charyk, MS, CGC	Quarterly review. Added updated ACOG committee opinions #690 and 691 per 3/8/17 CSC approval. Updated references.
v2.2017	03/08/2017	Kate Charyk, MS, CGC	Expanded criteria of SMA to general population carrier screening.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

v1.2017	01/23/2017	Kate Charyk, MS, CGC	Quarterly review. No criteria changes. Added paragraph to background regarding prenatal WES. Updated references. Renumbered to 2017 version.
v1.2016	08/01/2016	Gwen Fraley, MS, CGC	Expanded criteria NIPT to average-risk population. Updated references.
v1.2015	04/19/2015	Gwen Fraley, MS, CGC	Original version

**Original Effective Date:** 4/19/2015

**Primary Author:** Gwen Fraley, MS, CGC

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.